

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 09/530.518
 05/25/2000
 LYLE ARMSTRONG
 106/41
 2222

25944 7590 02/13/2003 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER
GITOMER, RALPH J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1651 DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/530,518 Armstrong et al.

Examiner Ralph Gitomer 1651

- If NO - Failure - Any ra	period or repry specimed above a less than tranty (au) days, a repry winnin to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply raceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing data of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 23, 2	2002	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex pa}$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 22-47	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗀	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 22-47	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ition Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examin		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	ne certified copies not received.	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
	The translation of the foreign language provision:	• •	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
	ntice of Draftsperson's Patent Drewing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patant Application (PTO-152)	
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Serial No. 09/530,518

Art Unit 1651

ì

5

10

15

20

-2-

The amendment of 8/23/02 has been entered in view of the RCE request received 9/23/02. Claims 22-47 are currently pending in this application. No abstract is found in the file. Please update the specification regarding related applications and priority.

All rejections of record are maintained.

Claims 22-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Amendments to the claims are new matter. In claim 22 and all occurrences, XX represents a group other than hydrogen that, as compared to where X is hydrogen is new matter. In claim 47 any group of hydrophobic type that, as compared to where X is hydrogen is new matter. A negative limitation such as excluding hydrogen requires a high degree of written description.

Regarding the rejections of record under 35 USC 102(b), applicants argue that none of the cited references teach the compound as claimed where X is now recited as not hydrogen. And none of the references teach the detection agent of claim 47 with a revealing agent.

It is the examiner's position that as broadly claimed, the claimed compounds are anticipated by each of the cited references. And a revealing agent could read on water where no particular function or structure is applied to such an agent.

10

5

Regarding the rejection of record under 35 USC 103(a), applicants argue Morris and Sellers do not teach the compound of claim 30. Claim 22 is not taught by Morris because p-nitrophenyl does not limit the diffusion in the medium of the keto acid produced.

It is the examiner's position that the groups taught by Morris may limit diffusion to some degree. As the compounds intended have not been clearly claimed, they are rendered obvious by Morris.

20

Claims 22-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of the following applies in all occurrences.

There is inconsistency in the claims regarding what enzyme is intended. It would appear the claims are directed to deaminase but this is not claimed. As amended, the issues of record regarding what X may be are not resolved. The clause XX represents a group other than hydrogen that, as compared to where X is hydrogen does not define what X may be. In claim 47 X may group of hydrophobic type that, as compared to where X is hydrogen is unclear as to what X may be. Further, a revealing agent is not a term of art and is discussed on page 7 of the present specification. What is revealed by what is not seen as claimed. All issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, in the rejection of 4/23/02 are maintained for reasons of record.

The title of the invention is not aptly descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

5

10

15

Serial No. 09/530,518

Art Unit 1651

-5-

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. For 24 hour access to patent application information 7 days per week, or for filing applications electronically, please visit our website at www.uspto.gov and click on the button Patent Electronic Business Center* for more information.

Railoures

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Group 1651

RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

20

15

5